circumstances do exist. First, establishment of EAS between Louisburg and Zebulon would provide county-seat calling for a significant minority--15%-of Zebulon subscribers. Second, the school situation in south Franklia County would benefit from EAS. As Mr. Towny Piper of the Franklin County schools testified, approximately 50% of the students at schools in the Louisburg exchange live in the Zebulon exchange. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that the entire polling request should be authorized.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED as follows:

- 1. That Carolina be, and the same is hereby, authorized to conduct a poll for the proposed interLATA EAS in its Franklinton and Louisburg exchanges to determine their desire for the proposed EAS, utilizing the polling letter attached as Appendix A as an example.
- 2. That Carolina file the results of the EAS poll broken down by business and residential categories as soon as the results are known.

ISSUED BY ORDER OF THE CONNISSION.

This the 28th day of Marenter 1994.

MORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

Stever & Diego, Chief Class

(SEAL)

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALFIGH

DOCKET NO. P-7, SUB 809

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of Louisburg to Raleigh and Zebulon Extended Area Service

NOTICE TO LOUISBURG SUBSCRIBERS REGARDING EAS TO THE RALEIGH AND ZEBULON EXCHANGES

MSTICE IS MERERY GIVEN that Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company has been authorized by the Morth Carolina Utilities Commission to poll the telephone subscribers in its Louisburg exchange (406), regarding the matter of two-way, non-optional extended area service (EAS) to Southern Boll's Raleigh and Zebulon exchanges (269, 404). The purpose of the poll is to determine how many Louisburg subscribers are in favor of paying higher monthly flat rates in lieu of toll charges for calling to Raleigh and Zebulon. Your existing local calling area will not be affected by this proposal.

BASIC MONTHLY NATE-INCREASES, FOR

Residence Business

\$1.57 \$3.70

You are requested to consider the question, mark your preference on the enclosed postcard ballet (stamped and preaddressed), and mail the ballet at your earliest convenience. Ballets postmarked after midnight 1994, will not be counted in the vote. In addition, the ballet must be signed by the customer and a telephone number must be provided in order for the ballet to be counted in the vote. If YOU WISH TO-NAME A VOICE IN THIS DECISION, YOU MUST RETURN THE MARKED BALLOT. The Commission's decision on the EAS proposal will be announced after the poll has been completed.

If you need additional information about this metter, you may contact your local telephone office at () or the Public Staff, Post Office Box 29829, Raleigh, North Carolina 27826-0629, (919-733-2810).

ISSUED BY ORDER OF THE CONSISSION.

This the ______ 19th day of Marenten_ 1994.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COPPISSION

Geneva S. Thigpen, Chieffelerk

(SEAL)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 6th day of September, 1995, a copy of the foregoing was served by first-class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to the parties on the attached service list.

Anne C. Wilson

Ad Hoc Telecommunications User Committee James S. Blaszak
Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby
1300 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

AirTouch Communications
David A. Gross
1818 N Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036

ALC Communications Corporation Roy L. Morris Deputy General Counsel 1990 M. Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 American Telephone and Telegraph Company John Zeglis Senior Vice President and General Counsel Room 17-435313 295 N. Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Ameritech Corporation
Thomas P. Hester
Senior Vice President and General Counsel
30 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606

Bell Atlantic
Jim Young
General Counsel
1310 North Court House Road
11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

Bell Atlantic
John Thorne
John Goodman
1320 North Court House Road
8th Floor
Arlington, VA 22201

California Public Utilities Commission
Janice E. Kerr
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Competitive Telecommunications Association Robert J. Aamoth Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 1100 - East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005-3317

CompuServe Incorporated
Randolph J. May
Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Dialog Information Services, Inc. Robert P. Reznick Hughes, Hubbard & Reed 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Enhanced Services Systems
J. M. Heller
Managing Director
1975 Morello Avenue
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

Federal Communications Commission Robert L. Pettit General Counsel 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Fulbright & Jaworski, L.L.P. Stephen M. McNabb 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2604

GTE Service Corporation
C. Daniel Ward
One Stamford Forum
Stamford, CT 06904

Independent Data Communications
Manufacturers Association
Herbert E. Marks
Jonathan Jacob Nadler
Thomas E. Skilton
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20044

Interactive Services Association, Inc. Howard M. Liberman
Arter & Hadden
1801 K Street, N.W.
Suite 400K
Washington, D.C. 20006

Maryland People's Counsel
Gary L. Lieber
Schmeltzer, Aptaker & Shepard
2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20037

MCI
Michael H. Salsbury
Jenner & Block
601 13th Street, N.W.
Suite 1200 S.
Washington, D.C. 20005

Mend Data Central, Inc.
Michael A. Jacobs
Assistant General Counsel
9393 Springboro Pike
P.O. Box 933
Dayton, OH 45401

Michael K. Kellogg Kellogg, Huber, Hansen & Todd 1300 I Street, N.W. Suite 500 East Washington, D.C. 20005 National Telephone Cooperative Association Rural Telephone Coalition David Cosson 2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

National Consumers League, et al. Samuel A. Simon 901 - 15th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005

National Association of Broadcasters Robert E. Branson 1771 N. Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners Paul Rodgers 1102 ICC Building P.O. Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044 National Cable Television Association, Inc. Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, P.C. 701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20004

New York Public Service Commission
William Cowan
Paul Goia
New York State Department of Public Service
Three Empire Plaza
Albany, NY 12223

Newspaper Association of America
John F. Sturm
Senior Vice President - Government, Legal & Poli
11600 Sunrise Valley Drive
Reston, VA 22091

North American Telecommunications Association Albert H. Kramer Robert F. Aldrich 1201 New York Avenue, N.W. Penthouse Suite Washington, D.C. 20005 NYNEX Corporation
Raymond F. Burke
Vice President and General Counsel
1113 Westchester Avenue
4th Floor
White Plains, NY 10604

Pacific Telesis Group Richard W. Odgers James L. Wurtz 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20004

Southwestern Bell Corporation Martin E. Grambow Vice President and General Counsel 1401 I Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005

Telecommunications Industry Association
John L. McGrew
Willkie, Farr & Gallagher
1155 - 21st Street, N.W.
Three Lafayette Centre
Washington, D.C. 20036

U S West, Inc. Charles P. Russ 1020 19th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

U S Sprint
Leon M. Kestenbaum
U S Sprint Communications Corporation
Suite 1110
1850 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Southwestern Bell Corporation

James D. Ellis
Senior Executive Vice President and General Cour
175 East Houston
Room 1306
San Antonio, TX 78205

Tandy Corporation
John W. Pettit
Drinker, Biddle & Reath
901 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20005

Telocator Network of America Richard E. Wiley Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

United States Department of Justice Donald J. Russell Chief, Telecommunications Task Force Antitrust Division 555 - 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

USTA
Mary McDermott
Vice President and General Counsel
1401 H Street, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-2136

Capital Network Systems, Inc. d/b/a Capital Long Distance 600 Congress Avenue Suite 1360 Austin, TX 78701

Frontier Communications International, Inc. 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646

MFS Intelenet of North Carolina, Inc. 6 Century Drive Suite 300 Parsippany, NJ 07054

Pennsylvania Alternative Communications, Inc. d/b/a PACE Long Distance
218 Maple Avenue
Greensburg, PA 15601

Teleleasing Enterprises, Inc. 1429 Messaro Boulevard Tampa, FL 33619-3005

West Coast Telecommunications, Inc. 135 East Ortega Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

LDDS of Carolina, Inc. P.O. Box 241346 Charlotte, NC 28224 AllNet Communications Services, Inc. 1990 M Street, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036

Amerifax, Inc. d/b/a AmeriConnect 10975 Benson Suite 330 Overland park, KS 66210 Business Telecom, Inc. 5000 Falls of Neuse Road Suite 400 P.O. Box 150002 Raleigh, NC 27264-0002 Cable & Wireless, Inc. 1919 Gallows Road Vienna, VA 22180

Carolina Telephone Long Distance, Inc. P.O. Box 1195
Tarboro, NC 27886

Corporate Telemanagement Group, Inc. 430 Woodruff Road
Suite 450
Greenville, SC 29607

Equal Net Communications, Inc. 2000 Dairy Ashford Suite 215
Houston, TX 77077

Executone Information Systems, Inc. 6 Thorndal Circle
Darien, CT 06820

GE Capital Communications Services 4960 Mountain View Parkway Birmingham, AL 35244

LCI International Telecom Corporation 8180 Greensboro Drive Suite 800 McLeon, VA 22102 LCI Telecom South, Inc. 4650 Lakelurst Court Dublin, OH 43017

LDDS Communications, Inc. 515 East Amite
Jackson, MS 39201-2702

One Call Communications, Inc. 801 Congressional Blvd. Carmel, IN 46032

Phoenix Network, Inc. One Maritime Plaza Suite 2525 San Francisco, CA 94111 Quest Telecommunications, Inc. 242 Falcon Drive Forest Park, GA 30050

RD&J Communications, Inc. 1803 Oak Street Myrtle Beach, SC 29577 SouthernNet, Inc. d/b/a Telecom USA 780 Douglas Road Suite 800 Atlanta, GA 30342-1409

Sprint Communications Company 3065 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339 SUMMIT Telecommunications, Inc. 3650 Clay Pond Road
Myrtle Beach, SC 29577-7326

Teledial America of North Carolina, Inc. 8720 Redoak Blvd.
Suite 503
Charlotte, NC 28217

Trans National Communications, Inc. 2 Charlesgate West
Boston, MA 02215-3552

U.S. Long Distance, Inc. 9311 San Pedro Suite 300 San Antonio, TX 78216 WilTel, Inc.
One Williams Center
P.O. Box 21348
Tulsa, OK 74121

Wynn Communications Group, Inc. 206 East Center Street P.O. Box 1631 Lexington, NC 27292

ATTACHMENT II

Michael J. Schwarz General Attorney

BellBouth Corporation

Legal Department-Suite 1800 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30367-6000 404 249-2655

September 20, 1995

Donald J. Russell, Esq.
Chief, Communications and Finance Section
Antitrust Division
U.S. Department of Justice
555 Fourth Street, N.W.
Room 8104
Washington, D.C. 20001

Re:

Request by BellSouth Corporation for a Waiver of the Modification of Final Judgment to Provide Two-Way Non-Optional EAS Across a LATA Boundary Between the Chapel Hill Exchange and the Saxapahaw Exchange

Dear Mr. Russell:

Attached is a request by BellSouth Corporation on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., doing business as South Central Bell Telephone Company and Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, for a waiver to provide two-way non-optional extended area service between the Chapel Hill exchange in the Raleigh LATA and the Saxapahaw exchange in the Greensboro LATA.

Copies of this request have been served upon the persons listed on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Schwarz

MJS/pz Attachments

cc: J. Philip Sauntry, Jr., Esq.



NOTICE OF FILING WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE UNDER SECTION VIII(C) OF THE MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

This is to advise you that BellSouth Corporation has submitted, pursuant to procedures set forth by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in <u>United States v. Western Electric Co... Inc. and American Telephone and Telegraph Company</u>, C.A. 82-0192-HHG, the attached Request of BellSouth Corporation for a Waiver of the Modification of Final Judgment to Allow Provision of Two-Way Non-Optional Extended Area Service Across a LATA Boundary Between the Chapel Hill Exchange in the Raleigh LATA and the Saxapahaw Exchange in the Greensboro LATA. The Department of Justice will receive comments concerning this request, which should be directed to:

Donald J. Russell, Esq.
Chief, Communications and Finance Section
Antitrust Division
U.S. Department of Justice
555 Fourth Street, N.W., Room 8104
Washington, D.C. 20001

Comments should be submitted within twenty-one (21) days. Copies of any such comments should also be served upon undersigned counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

BELLSOUTH CORPORATION

By:

Michael Schuse

Walter H. Alford

Executive Vice President and

General Counsel

(admitted pro hac vice)

William B. Barfield

(D.C. Bar No. 52472)

Michael J. Schwarz

(admitted pro hac vice)

Of Counsel:

Its Attorneys

Leon H. Lee, Jr. 200 S. College Street 1012 Southern National Center Charlotte, NC 28202 (704) 378-8835

Dated: September 20, 1995

Suite 1800 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309-3610 (404) 249-2641

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action File
v.)	82-0192 (HHG)
)	
WESTERN ELECTRIC COMPANY)	
INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
	_)	

REQUEST OF BELLSOUTH CORPORATION FOR A WAIVER OF THE MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT TO ALLOW PROVISION OF TWO-WAY NON-OPTIONAL EXTENDED AREA SERVICE ACROSS A LATA BOUNDARY BETWEEN THE CHAPEL HILL EXCHANGE IN THE RALEIGH LATA AND THE SAXAPAHAW EXCHANGE IN THE GREENSBORO LATA

BellSouth Corporation, on behalf of its operating telephone company subsidiary, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., doing business as South Central Bell Telephone Company and Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company ("BellSouth"), pursuant to Section VIII(C) of the Decree, requests a waiver of the line of business restrictions that would permit it to provide two-way non-optional extended area service ("EAS") across a LATA boundary. The North Carolina Utilities Commission ("NCUC") has issued an order requiring BellSouth to take the action necessary to provide EAS between the Chapel Hill exchange and the Saxapahaw exchange.

In the Matter of Southern Bell Telephone and Telephone and Telephone Company - Saxapehow to Chanel Hill Extended Area Service, Order Authorizing InterLATA Extended Area Service and Requiring Southern Bell to seek Waiver, Docket No. P-55, Sub. 1006, NCUC, July 12, 1995,

The Chapel Hill exchange is in the Raleigh LATA and the Saxapahaw exchange is in the Greensboro, North Carolina LATA.² The NCUC ordered BellSouth to poll its subscribers based on support from Alamance County Board of Commissioners, business subscribers, letters and petitions signed by approximately 525 Saxapahaw subscribers.³ As shown in the NCUC's Order of July 12, 1995, over 72 percent of the subscribers who returned their ballots in the Saxapahaw exchange favored the EAS proposal.⁴ Implicit in the NCUC's order is a finding of a community of interest between these exchanges and that the proposed EAS is in the public interest.⁵ In addition, a 30-day calling study showed that the Community of Interest ("CIF") factor exceeded the CIF requirement in the Commission's rules.⁶

The limited number of subscribers involved and the small amount of interLATA traffic

attached as Exhibit "A."

See, Map attached as Exhibit "B." The Chapel Hill exchange contains 45,283 local access lines, and the Saxapahaw exchange has 3,784 local access lines.

See, In the Matter of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company - Saxapahaw to Chanel Hill InterLATA Extended Area Service, Order Authorizing Polling, Docket No. P-55, Sub. 1006, April 26, 1995, attached as Exhibit "C."

Seek Waiver. Under the NCUC's rules it was not necessary to ballot the subscribers in the Chapel Hill exchange. The Commission also ordered BellSouth to adopt an implementation schedule. The schedule presented to the NCUC states that the proposed EAS arrangements will be effective on July 27, 1995. Of course, final planning and installation of the necessary facilities must begin several months prior to them.

NCUC Rule R9-7(e).

NCUC Rule 9-7(d)(2). The CIF factor is a ratio of the number of customer calls placed between two exchanges divided by the total number of customer lines in those exchanges. The standard set out in Rule 9-7(d)(2) demonstrates the existence of a community of interest between the exchanges.

affected by this proposal ensure that there can be no adverse impact on the interLATA market. The service will be offered as a non-optional EAS plan, consistent with those that have been previously approved by the Court.⁷ Therefore, BellSouth requests that the Department recommend the attached waiver to the Court.

Respectfully submitted,

BELLSOUTH CORPORATION

By:

Walter H. Alford

Executive Vice President and

General Counsel

(admitted pro hac vice)

William B. Barfield

(D.C. Bar No. 52472)

Michael J. Schwarz

(admitted pro hac vice)

Dated: September 20, 1995

Of Counsel:

Leon H. Lee, Jr. 200 S. College Street 1012 Southern National Center Charlotte, NC 28202 (704) 378-8835 Its Attorneys

Suite 1800 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309-3610 (404) 249-2641

⁷ However, because Chapel Hill and Saxapahaw are in different NPAs it is not possible to provide seven digit dialing.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,					
Plaintiff,) v.)	Civil Action File 82-0192 (HHG)				
")	02 VI/2 (IIIIG)				
WESTERN ELECTRIC COMPANY) INC., et al.,					
Defendants.)					
MOTION AND PROPOSED ORDER FOR A WAIVER OF THE MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT TO ALLOW PROVISION OF NON-OPTIONAL EXTENDED AREA CALLING SERVICE					
In accordance with the procedure set	forth in the Court's March 15, 1984 Memosandum				
Order, the Department has considered the attack	hed request from BellSouth Corporation, and concurs				
in the request. Therefore, the United States I	nereby moves this Court to grant a waiver allowing				
BellSouth to provide two-way non-optional ext	ended area service between the Chapel Hill Exchange				
in the Raleigh LATA and the Saxapahaw Exc	hange in the Greensboro LATA.				
	Respectfully submitted,				
	Donald I Bussell Co.				
	Donald J. Russell, Esq. Chief				
	Communications and Finance Section				
	Antitrust Division				
Dated	U.S. Department of Justice				
Dated:	Washington, D.C. 20001				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff, v.)) Civil Action File) 82-0192 (HHG)
WESTERN ELECTRIC COMPANY INC., et al.,)))
Defendants.))
	ORDER
Upon consideration of the motion i	filed by the United States on, 1995, to
permit BellSouth to provide two-way non-	optional extended area service between the Chapel Hill
exchange in the Raleigh LATA and the Sax	capahaw exchange in the Greensboro LATA, and noting
no objection thereto, it is hereby	
ORDERED that the motion is grante	ed and that BellSouth may provide two-way non-optional
extended area service across a LATA b	boundary between the Chapel Hill exchange and the
Saxapahaw exchange.	
Dated:	Harold H. Greene United States District Judge

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. P-55, SUB 1006

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of		
Southern Beil Telephone and Telegraph Company -	.)	ORDER AUTHORIZING
Saxapahaw to Chapel Hill Extended Area Service)	INTERLATA EXTENDED
)	AREA SERVICE AND
)	REQUIRING SOUTHERN
)	BELL TO SEEK WAIVER

BY THE COMMISSION: By Order issued April 26, 1995, the Commission authorized Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell) to conduct a poll of the subscribers in its Saxapahaw exchange to determine their desire for two-way, non-optional interLATA extended area service (EAS) to its Chapel Hill exchange. Since no local rate increases applied at the Chapel Hill exchange for establishing the proposed EAS, polling of those subscribers was not necessary.

On June 26, 1995, Southern Bell filed the following poil results of its Saxapahaw subscribers:

Number of ballots mailed		3,839
Number and (%) of eligible Returned	ballots	1,721 (44.8)
Number and (%) of eligible returned voting in favor	bailots	1,120 (11.0)
	Residence	1,172 (72.0)
	Business	73 (78.5)
	Combined	1 245 (72 3)

This matter came before the Regular Commission Staff Conference on July 10, 1995. The Public Staff recommended that, based on the favorable poll results of the Saxapahaw subscribers, the Commission should issue an Order approving interLATA EAS between the Saxapahaw and Chapel Hill exchanges and requiring Southern Bell to seek a waiver from the federal courts to provide the interLATA EAS.

After careful consideration of the filings in this docket, the Commission concludes that the proposed interLATA EAS between the Saxapahaw and Chapel Hill exchanges should be approved and Southern Bell should be required to seek a waiver from the federal courts to provide the proposed EAS.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED as foilows:

- 1. That the proposed interLATA EAS between Saxapahaw and Chapel Hill be approved.
- 2. That Southern Bell be required to immediately seek a wavier from the federal court to provide the interLATA EAS herein. Southern Bell shall report to the Commission its progress in obtaining such waiver within six months of the date of this Order.
- 3. That, after Southern Bell shall have obtained the waiver, it shall submit a time schedule to the Commission for establishing the EAS and file the necessary tariffs with the Commission effective upon the in-service date of the EAS.

ISSUED BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION.

This the 12th day of

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

(SEAL)

EXHIBIT B
Page 1 of 1

EXCHANGE BOTINDARY AREA CODE BOUNDARY

COUNTY BOUNDARY

ATA LICH LATA

DURHAM CHAPEL HILL CHATHAM SAXAPAHAW AI AMANCE COUNTY

To the second se

UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO P-55, SUB 1006

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of

Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company -)

Saxapahaw to Chapel Hill InterLATA Extended)

Area Service)

ORDER AUTHORIZING
POLLING

BY THE COMMISSION: On January 11, 1995, Ms. Carol Chandler submitted resolutions, letters and petitions in support of two-way, non-optional interLATA extended area service (EAS) between Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's (Southern Bell's) adjacent Saxapahaw and Chapel Hill exchanges. The Saxapahaw exchange, which currently has 3,784 local access lines, is located in the southern part of Alamance County and is in the Greensboro LATA. The Chapel Hill exchange, which currently has 45,283 local access lines, is located primarily in Orange County and is in the Raleigh LATA. Today, the Saxapahaw exchange has EAS to the Burlington, Anderson, Mebane, Gibsonville, and Kimesville exchanges while the Chapel Hill exchange has EAS to the Hillsborough, Mebane, and Pittsboro exchanges and to the Research Triangle Park and Raleigh-Durham Airport.

Support for the proposed EAS came from State Representative Cary D. Allred, the Alamance County Board of Commissioners, doctors, dentists, developers, other residential and business subscribers and petitions signed by approximately 525 Saxapahaw subscribers.

As to the results of a 30-day toll calling study made between the two exchanges, Southern Bell is able to provide only a combined (residence and business) CIF. On any interLATA route, the calling data Southern Bell is able to obtain from the long distance carriers (IXCs) is not adequate for computing CIFs separately for residential and business subscribers or for computing any PMCs. For this proposal, Southern Bell has provided combined CIFs of 0.2 for calling from Chapel Hill to Saxapahaw and 3.0 for calling from Saxapahaw to Chapel Hill. The 3.0 CIF exceeds the combined CIF requirement of 2.5 in the Commission's EAS Rule R9-7(d)(2) for an inter-county EAS proposal between exchanges with a common boundary. Since calling data is not available for computing PMCs, the Public Staff requested the Commission to waive that requirement in this proposal.

This matter came before the Regular Commission Conference on April 24, 1995. Based on application of Southern Bell's EAS matrix tariff, the following monthly local rate increases would apply for establishing the proposed EAS:

Exchange	Residence	<u>Business</u>
Saxapahaw	\$0 .53	\$1.68
Chapel Hill	\$0 00	\$0 .00

The Public Staff recommended that the Commission issue an Order authorizing Southern Bell to conduct a poll of its Saxapanaw subscribers to determine their desire for EAS to Chapel Hill.

The following persons appeared in support of the Public Staff's recommendation: Ms. Carol Chandler, a Saxapahaw resident, and Mr. Max Jordan, President of Jordan Properties.

WHEREUPON, the Commission reaches the following

CONCLUSIONS

After careful consideration, the Commission concludes that good cause exists to approve the Public Staff's recommendation for the reasons generally set forth by the Public Staff and proponents of the EAS.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED as follows:

- 1. That Southern Bell be authorized to conduct a poll of its Saxapahaw subscribers to determine their desire for EAS to Chapel Hill utilizing the polling letter attached to this Order as Exhibit A.
- 2. That Southern Bell submit the poll results separately for residential and business subscribers as soon as the results are known.

ISSUED BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

This the 26th day of derel 1995

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

(SEAL)

ieneva S. Thigpen, Chief Clerk

Commissioner Laurence A. Cobb dissents.

If you need additional information about this matter, you may contact your local telephone office at () or the Public Staff, Post Office Box 29520, Raleigh, North Carolina 27626-0520, (919-733-0882)

ISSUED BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

This the 36th day of cherry 1995.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

(SEAL)

Geneva S. Thigpen, Chief Clerk